WE CAN'T AFFORD TO BE SILENT!
MESSAGE FROM OUR CHAIR

Over the last few years, we have seen an increase in corrupt behaviour manifesting across all sectors of society. Media, on a global scale, is saturated with stories of corruption involving leaders from government, the private sector, civil society and sports bodies. We have witnessed the increase of collusion in the private sector, as well as the continuous threat against those brave enough to expose graft and blow the whistle. In our own country, we have also watched how the abuse of power and misuse of public funds can compromise a young democracy. It is for this reason that the founding members of Corruption Watch realised the need for an anti-corruption watchdog which would be free from political and business interference and become a loudhailer for the people.

When we opened our doors five years ago, we knew that the task ahead would not be an easy one; but with the dedication and commitment of our small team, as well as the support that we received from the media and select groups within the public and private sector, we have made significant strides in the field of anti-corruption. From engaging in legal matters and making policy submissions, to our mobilisation campaigns and capacity building workshops at a grassroots level it has been a busy but fruitful five years for our corruption busters. But the real heroes of our story are the courageous 15 000 people who have reported corruption to us.

We celebrate whistle-blowers who have risked their reputations and jobs like Gogo Masilela* who travelled close to 500km from Kimberley to Johannesburg to report a case of corruption in her community, and Mam Sarah* who compromised her position on a school governing body to expose graft at a secondary school in Soweto. We also acknowledge people like Mathe Balsek, a refugee who reported a case of brisbery at the Home Affairs Department in Marabastad and has been continuously victimised and harassed for her assistance in helping us highlight the plight of foreign nationals seeking asylum. These are only a few examples of the courageous, but difficult journeys that our whistle-blowers had to travel when facing corruption. These individuals are key to the work that we do at Corruption Watch. They enable us to speak with the authority of the public and to amplify the experiences of the ordinary members of our community. In so doing, our whistle-blowers have assisted in strengthening our constitutional democracy and in ensuring that public resources are deployed in the public interest.

We are also continuously overwhelmed by the support that we receive from the general public. Many people might not have a case of corruption to report to us, but still express interest in our movement. We are grateful to all those that have shared the fruits of our work across all social networks, have engaged with us and joined our mobilisation campaigns, as well as the donations that we have received in their biggest or smallest forms - we are thankful. It is through this support that we can continue with our work for the next few years to come and provide a key service to the people of this country.

2016 was a politically charged year. Brazil, the Trump presidency and our ruling party losing three major metropolitan cities in the local government elections are all examples of the growing dissatisfaction of the general public who are seeking change from the current state of leadership. In South Africa, we watched as the Constitutional Court handed down a judgment stating that President Jacob Zuma violated the very same Constitution that he is mandated to protect. We also observed the shenanigans at SARS and the destruction of the National Procurement Authority. In 2016, we learned how our state---one that thousands fought for so that it could one day be free and belong to all who live in it---was captured by a few politically powerful individuals and their business cronies.

**We celebrate whistle-blowers who have risked their reputations and jobs**

The run-up to the 2019 elections will be challenging on all fronts and as a country we have to stand by the founding principles of our democracy. We need to remember that our democracy does not end at the ballot box, but that the public has to be actively engaged and participate at all levels of government in order to hold our elected representatives, public officials, representatives of churches, sports bodies, civil society and the private sector to account. We need to become more vigilant and proactive in highlighting the institutions that support constitutional democracy in South Africa. It is also time to remind our leaders about the values of our Freedom Charter and reclaim our public institutions so that they do not belong to only the few. The years ahead might be tougher than the ones before, but organisations like Corruption Watch and other civil society movements are critical in demanding transparency and accountability from our leaders and highlighting the struggles of our people.

I encourage you to support these organisations as we continue to build a South Africa that is free from inequality, poverty and corruption.
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CHAPTER 1  

BACK TO BASICS

We are committed to fighting corruption in South Africa and helping to create a corruption-free society by:

- Encouraging and enabling people to expose corruption by asking them to report their experiences and knowledge of corruption to us;
- Encouraging people to refuse to participate in corruption, through mass communication campaigns that inform the public about their rights and the negative consequences of corruption;
- Building networks of corruption fighters and creating an environment that does not tolerate corruption;
- Making every effort to ensure that those who engage in corruption will be punished, by referring our reports and investigations to law enforcement authorities;
- Using our communications platforms and our partnerships with the media to name and shame perpetrators of corruption;
- Actively participating in public campaigns to improve the policy and legislative environment; and
- Gathering data so that we are able to identify corruption hotspots and provide the public with information that enables them to hold their leaders to account.

Corruption Watch is a non-profit organisation launched in January 2012. We rely on the public to report corruption to us.

These reports are an important source of intelligence and they guide our fight against corruption. But the most important role played by the reports is that they come from the public and they enable us to speak with their voice. It is this that enables us to hold public and private sector leaders to account for their actions. Our aim is to ensure that the custodians of public resources act responsibly to advance the interests of the public at all times, and to reduce the opportunities for corrupt relationships.
The cornerstone of Corruption Watch’s activities is a three-way communication process between the public, the organization, and those who hold power within the public and private sectors. The public reports corruption to us, we confront leaders in the public and private sectors with these reports to advocate for change, and we report back to the public. Our three-way communication starts with the public and ends with the public.

Having a voice is a requirement of democracy. Corruption Watch’s own communication platforms and its relationship with the mainstream and community media amplify the voices of the public to ensure that they are heard by those in positions of authority.

**H OW IT WORKS**

We encourage everyone living in South Africa to report corruption and actively promote accountable leadership.

**We do this through:**

- **Research:** We analyse our reports and other information to identify patterns and hotspots of corruption. We prepare research reports to expose and find solutions to systemic corruption and share these findings with the public, the government and the private sector.
- **Mobilisation:** We develop mass public engagement campaigns that encourage people to take a stand against corruption. Our campaigns involve the public, community groups and other civil society organisations.
- **Litigation and policy advocacy:** We engage in select litigation and policy advocacy to strengthen the legal environment for fighting corruption; and
- **Investigations:** We investigate selected reports of alleged acts of corruption, particularly those that have the most serious impact on our society. We submit our findings to the relevant authorities to take further action and we monitor the progress of each case. We work with the media to fully expose the corruption uncovered through our investigative work.

We are an accredited Transparency International chapter. Corruption Watch is an accredited chapter of Transparency International (TI), a global movement that seeks to stop corruption and promote transparency, accountability and integrity at all levels and across all sectors of society. Having the status of an accredited national chapter means that Corruption Watch is now part of TI’s network of just fewer than 100 countries and is TI’s official reference point in South Africa.

We are not a substitute for government or business responsibilities.

A key objective of Corruption Watch is to persuade government and business to take the popular dissatisfaction with corruption seriously and act accordingly. Indeed, the inadequate responses to corruption by these sectors largely influenced the formation of Corruption Watch five years ago. Clearly, we cannot rely on law enforcement or other arms of government alone to combat corruption. Confronting corruption requires an active and engaged public that is willing to hold to account the leaders who wield public power and who control public resources - as well as those in the private sector who supply and distribute these resources.

WE REPORT BACK TO THE PUBLIC

WE CONFRONT RELEVANT LEADERSHIP

PUBLIC & PRIVATE SECTORS

PUBLIC REPORTS CORRUPTION TO US
The annual TI Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) ranks countries or territories by their perceived levels of public sector corruption.

The lower the score out of 100, the more corrupt the country is perceived to be. Over the last five years, South Africa’s score and rank has improved, but not significantly. In 2016, South Africa was ranked 64 out of 176 countries, with a score of 45/100.

We continue to note with concern that a score below 50 indicates a significant corruption problem in the country.

- Rank - a country's position relative to other countries included in the index
- Score - indicates the perceived level of public sector corruption on a scale of 0-100 in a country, where 0 means that a country is perceived as highly corrupt and a 100 means that the country is perceived as free from graft.
CHAPTER 3
ADDING UP
THE NUMBERS

CORRUPTION COMPLAINTS RECEIVED SINCE 2012

14,972

4,391 corruption reports received in 2016

an average of 11 reports a day

2012 - 3,223 reports • 2013 - 2,262 reports • 2014 - 2,714 reports • 2015 - 2,382 reports

Corruption is the abuse of public resources or public power for personal gain

61% of complaints received in 2016 are classified as corruption according to our definition

2016 HOTSPOTS

16% SCHOOLS
7% ROADTRAFFIC
6% LICENSING
6% IMMIGRATION
5% HOUSING
ADDING UP THE NUMBERS

REPORTS BY PROVINCE

EASTERN CAPE
FREE STATE
GAUTENG
KZN
LIMPOPO
MPUMALANGA
NORTH WEST
NORTHERN CAPE
WESTERN CAPE
UNKNOWN

TYPES OF CORRUPTION REPORTED

INSTITUTIONAL LOCATION

ABUSE OF POWER
BRIBERY
EMPLOYMENT CORRUPTION
PROCUREMENT CORRUPTION
OTHER

LOCAL GOVERNMENT
NATIONAL GOVERNMENT
PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT
PRIVATE SECTOR
OTHER
Over the past five years, we have developed interesting and innovative campaigns that encouraged the public to take an active stand in the fight against corruption. Our campaigns involve engaging with various stakeholders from all sectors of society in order to build a culture of anti-corruption.

**MY HANDS ARE CLEAN**

Our campaign for 2015 called on the general public, young and old alike, to commit to taking individual responsibility for their own personal actions in order to stop the scourge of corruption in society. Our bribe detector encouraged the public to come clean about their past wrongdoings by asking them to participate in an anonymous polygraph test. Those who were brave enough put their integrity to the test by admitting to previous corrupt activities and pledging to stay clean thereafter. We also developed a social media experiment that challenged the public to raise their hands against corruption and take a selfie using #MyHandsAreClean. The challenge went viral in South Africa, Pakistan and Jordan.

**NO MORE TJO-TJO**

In our first year we launched a campaign that encouraged the public to report bribery on the roads. The No More Tjo-Tjo campaign educated the public about their rights and responsibilities when being pulled over by traffic officials. The reports received from the public indicated that Sandton was a hotspot for officials from the Johannesburg Metropolitan Police Department (JMPD) to solicit bribes from road users. As a result, the South African Police Services conducted an undercover sting operation in Sandton and apprehended those from the JMPD who were asking for tjo-tjo.

**LOSS OF PRINCIPLE**

As part of our ongoing schools campaign, in 2015 we conducted 10 investigations into allegations of corruption in schools. In all 10 cases, the school principal was found to be the main culprit involved in corrupt activities. Nine out of 10 cases dealt with principals abusing school funds for their own personal gain. We brought this to light in our Loss of Principle report in which we also celebrated the whistle-blowers who exposed these principals. We featured their personal journeys and experiences as corruption fighters, and also provided recommendations on achieving good governance at schools.

**UNMASK THE CORRUPT**

In 2014/15, we participated in Transparency International’s global Unmask the Corrupt campaign. The aim of the campaign was to expose those, both in the public and private sectors, who attempt to hide their proceeds of corruption, crime and tax evasion through money laundering processes.
When Corruption Watch opened its doors five years ago, we noticed that we were receiving large volumes of reports alleging corruption in the management of school resources. As a result, in 2013 we launched our Schools Campaign to raise awareness about the reality of graft taking place in our public schools.

We have received a total of 1,431 complaints of corruption in schools and these reports from the public indicate that in most cases, school principals are the primary culprits involved in misusing school funds and resources.
Pin-pointing the problem

Our reports and various stakeholder and advocacy engagements have highlighted the following problems in public schools across the country:

1. School procurement policies and procedures are misunderstood by school officials and parents;
2. Provincial departments of education do not have adequate systems in place to monitor the use/misuse of school funds;
3. There are no proper mechanisms in place to report corruption in schools to the authorities;
4. The training of school governing body (SGB) members is inadequate and sporadic;
5. Parental involvement in issues of school governance is low; and
6. Consequences for perpetrators are minimal. Often, principals or school officials who have been implicated in the mismanagement of finances are rotated to other schools where the graft will continue.

In 2016, Corruption Watch conducted capacity building workshops targeted at SGBs in various provinces. Our reports and engagements have indicated that the reason some principals are able to abuse school finances is because of the lack of training of SGB members. It is often the case that parents and representatives are unaware of their roles and responsibilities when serving on the SGB, and this allows culprits to abuse school resources without being detected. Our training took place in Limpopo, Eastern Cape and North West and involved equipping SGB members with the following information:

- The roles and responsibilities of the SGB;
- Understanding school procurement processes;
- Reporting corruption in schools; and
- Guidelines towards achieving a transparent and accountable school.
According to the reports received by Corruption Watch through its Project Lokisa initiative, corruption in the immigration system appears to be rife, particularly at the Marabastad Refugee Reception Office (RRO).

Project Lokisa was launched in June 2015 with the aim of addressing corruption experienced by foreign nationals who apply for asylum and refugee status at the DHA. South Africa’s Constitution is one of the few in the world that outlines a commitment to providing a system that protects the human rights of both citizens and foreign nationals, and the DHA has a responsibility to offer an immigration process that protects the vulnerable and facilitates their integration into society. When this system is corrupted, it not only violates the human rights of foreign nationals who are seeking a safe haven from war and persecution in their home countries, but it also creates opportunities for economic migrants to bribe their way into the asylum system - which then fuels the preconception that foreign nationals are taking advantage of the country’s resources.

Our partnerships with other civil society organisations enabled us to collect reports of corruption at the Marabastad RRO which led to a sting operation with video and photographic evidence implicating the following individuals:

1. Gladwin Cameron Monareng (DHA official) - solicited R3 000 from an undercover investigator for facilitating the asylum status documentation. Monareng also informed the investigator that he could organise a work permit at a cost of R15 000.
2. Mthaba Macanda (DHA official) - solicited R2 800 from an undercover investigator to provide services involving the facilitation of asylum status documents.
3. Mutombo Odimegwu (interpreter) - solicited R6 300 from an undercover investigator to provide services involving the facilitation of a passport and the arrangement of residency status.

Corruption Watch has laid criminal charges against these individuals and will continue to follow up on these investigations, as well as on steps taken by the DHA to address the issues that we have raised. We will continue to work with our partners to mobilise the public, exert pressure on officials, and support meaningful anti-corruption initiatives which are sensitive to the experiences and vulnerabilities of asylum seekers and refugees.
Currently the Department of Home Affairs is proving to be uncooperative and defensive. We are determined to make them see the error of their ways. We will continue our work to advance the realisation of the rights of all those who seek refuge in our country.

PROVINCIAL BREAKDOWN OF REPORTS

2016 DATA

TYPES OF CORRUPTION REPORTED

2016 DATA

GEOGRAPHICAL CONTEXT

2016 DATA

SMALL TOWN

6%

METRO

88%

UNKNOWN

6%
Whoever replaced Madonsela had to be someone strong, capable of acting with the highest integrity, and independent from political and executive interference. Through Bua Mzansi, Corruption Watch sought to play an active role in the process of appointing the new public protector by encouraging public participation – a right granted by the Constitution. We asked the public to nominate suitable candidates for the post and help scrutinise closely those who passed the nomination round.

Creating Avenues for Participation and Transparency

We engaged extensively with the parliamentary ad hoc committee that was established to oversee the process, by making written submissions to ensure that the appointment process was transparent and inclusive. This included publishing the qualifications of candidates, vetting them, attending parliamentary sessions, and allowing the public to comment on and/or object to certain candidates. These comments were taken into account when shortlisting and interviewing candidates.

The committee relied heavily on the vetting information that Corruption Watch provided when the time came for shortlists and interviews. The process has set a precedent for how future appointments to Chapter 9 Institutions are made. In September, President Jacob Zuma appointed Advocate Busisiwe Mkhwebane as public protector, based on a recommendation from Parliament.

Public Gets Involved in the Appointment of the Public Protector

On 14 October 2016 Advocate Thuli Madonsela concluded her seven-year term as public protector. Ahead of the appointment of her successor, Corruption Watch launched a mass public awareness campaign called Bua Mzansi (seSotho for “Speak up South Africa”). The objectives of the campaign were threefold:

1. Awareness: Our public awareness campaign highlighted the role of the Office of the Public Protector, its functions and responsibilities, and illustrated how the public can help to nominate candidates for the position.

2. Public Participation: We created avenues for the public to participate in the appointment of the new public protector through our online crowd-voting tool, and

3. Transparency: We campaigned to ensure that candidates were properly vetted, that they were qualified and suitable for the position, and that the entire process took place in the public spotlight.

Big Shoes to Fill

Madonsela earned widespread respect for her courage in investigating corruption and holding government to account without fear, favour or prejudice. Madonsela’s unwavering commitment to fighting corruption has also earned her, among numerous other accolades, Transparency International’s Integrity Award in 2014. The Office of the Public Protector is tasked with investigating improper practices at any level of government and in state-owned enterprises and statutory councils.
In May 2016, Corruption Watch published a report titled Transparency in Corporate Reporting: South Africa (TRAC). This research study evaluated the transparency of corporate reporting by the country’s 36 largest publicly listed companies, as well as 14 unlisted businesses. Companies across the globe have legal and ethical obligations to conduct their business honestly. This too applies to the South Africa context and requires commitment, resources and the ongoing management of a range of risks – legal, political and reputational – including those associated with corruption. The implementation of a comprehensive range of anti-corruption policies and management systems is fundamental to efforts to prevent and remediate corruption within organisations.

This research initiative formed part of a project led by the Transparency International Secretariat and adopts the same methodology as the study Transparency in Corporate Reporting: Assessing the World’s Largest Companies. The report is based on data collected or made available through company websites and is focused on three themes that are fundamental in achieving greater transparency:

1. REPORTING ON ANTI-CORRUPTION PROGRAMMES: Demonstrates a company’s commitment to fighting corruption and increases its responsibility and accountability to stakeholders. In addition, a strong public commitment to a robust anti-corruption programme has a positive impact on a company’s employees as it strengthens their anti-corruption attitudes;

2. ORGANISATIONAL TRANSPARENCY: Ensures that company structures are not opaque and allows local stakeholders to know which companies are operating in their territories, are bidding for government licenses or contracts, or have applied for or obtained favourable tax treatment. It also informs local stakeholders about which company networks these companies belong to and how they are related to other companies operating in the same country. Organisational transparency allows citizens to hold companies accountable for the impact they have on the communities in which they operate; and

3. COUNTRY-BY-COUNTRY REPORTING: The disclosure by a company, either publicly or in confidence to governments, of tax figures and other financial data on a country-by-country basis. It is a building block of corporate transparency and a tool for countering tax avoidance and evasion.
The youth is a key target audience for Corruption Watch and we approach them on the basis that they are the leaders of today, not merely tomorrow. Young people lead the way in music and fashion, in the adoption and adaptation of new communications technologies, and in the very language that we speak. They are already proven leaders and great influencers, as evidenced by the #FeesMustFall movements, and there is every reason to expect that they will lead the way in the fight against corruption in South Africa. Moreover, the youth is the generation that is least likely to embrace conservative values, and most likely to believe that a fundamental change in values and ethics is possible.

PLATFORMS FOR INTEGRITY
Our Integrity Lectures provide a space for the youth to engage with public officials and prominent leaders on matters including corruption, transparency and accountability as well as various socio-economic challenges that currently plague young people today. In 2016, we brought together youth from across the country to engage on issues such as illicit financial flows in Africa in relation to the Panama Papers, as well as to participate in dialogues around the 2016 local government elections.

PASSING ON THE BATON
The Corruption Watch Youth Forum is a group of diverse young people who have come together to address corruption through innovative campaigns and driving anti-corruption behaviour change within their own communities. Our Forum members held community dialogues in Northern Cape, Limpopo and Gauteng, focusing on issues such as nepotistic appointments, extortion, corruption in the licensing department, and formulating the role of youth in the fight against corruption.

THE ARGUMENT FOR A BETTER SOUTH AFRICA
For the past three years Corruption Watch has been hosting inter-school debating competitions, called the Model Youth South Africa (MYSYA) Schools’ Challenge, in the Free State and, since 2016, in Limpopo. We aim to expose learners to the dilemmas associated with democracy, accountability, transparency and corruption, using the tools of debate, policy making and public speaking.
Corruption comes in all shapes and sizes. But it is mostly whispered, hinted at, or spoken in a lingo that we should all try to understand. So if you are confronted with an empty plain brown envelope, a wink and a smile, or an outstretched hand, you need to speak up against it. In 2016 we developed a list of the most common phrases used by public officials to solicit bribes. So...

CHAPTER 4
CAMPAIGNS
LEARNING THE LINGO

UNDERSTANDING THE LANGUAGE OF THE CORRUPT

Corruption comes in all shapes and sizes. But it is mostly whispered, hinted at, or spoken in a lingo that we should all try to understand. So if you are confronted with an empty plain brown envelope, a wink and a smile, or an outstretched hand, you need to speak up against it. In 2016 we developed a list of the most common phrases used by public officials to solicit bribes. So...

WHEN YOU HEAR OR SEE...

AHEM (throat clearing)
An awkward silence accompanied by a quick look, a blank stare, or an uncooperative attitude until you submit to an implied request. Not to be confused with a simple throat clearing.

WA TSEBA MOS
You know mos, times are hard. I'll make it easy for you if you make it easy for me.

FIFTY YA COLI
Fifty rand for a cold drink to help you out of a sticky situation.

NG'ZAME MFETHU
Hook me up my brother. If you know what I mean... wink.

NUDGE, WINK
A slight elbow in the ribs and an actual wink may go hand in hand here, followed by a grin, an open hand, and a 'say no more'.

TJO
Whether it's R5 or R5 000, it's bribery.

MAKE NICE, NG'ZAME
Make nice, my brother and I'll make it nice for you...

GREASE MY PALM
Put it there, give me a loaded handshake and leave that note behind.

IT USUALLY MEANS

An awkward silence accompanied by a quick look, a blank stare, or an uncooperative attitude until you submit to an implied request. Not to be confused with a simple throat clearing.

You know mos, times are hard. I'll make it easy for you if you make it easy for me.

Fifty rand for a cold drink to help you out of a sticky situation.

Hook me up my brother. If you know what I mean... wink.

A slight elbow in the ribs and an actual wink may go hand in hand here, followed by a grin, an open hand, and a 'say no more'.

Whether it's R5 or R5 000, it's bribery.

Make nice, my brother and I'll make it nice for you...

Put it there, give me a loaded handshake and leave that note behind.

We held two marketing activations that encouraged the public, with the aid of a loudhailer, to shout out against corruption.
Since inception, Corruption Watch has shown its might by participating in prominent legal matters that highlighted high-profile cases involving corruption. We have also made policy submissions that lobby for strong anti-corruption practices. Some of our case highlights over the last five years include:

**CORRUPTION WATCH VS CEO OF SASSA**

In a case that is soon to be heard in the High Court, Corruption Watch brought to light the unscrupulous actions of the CEO of the South African Social Security Agency (SASSA) in March 2015. Corruption Watch claimed that the decision to pay Cash Paymaster Services – a company SASSA had contracted to administer social grants – an amount of R317-million without following supply chain management procedures was not only irrational, but illegal.

**CORRUPTION WATCH VS GAUTENG DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION & OTHERS**

In March 2015, after exhausting all attempts to access information relating to Halfway House Primary School from the Gauteng Department of Education (GDE), Corruption Watch approached the South Gauteng High Court. The information related to an investigation that Corruption Watch was to conduct into the school. We asked the court to declare the GDE’s refusal to grant us access to the information as unlawful and in conflict with the Promotion of Access to Information Act. Corruption Watch also requested the court to compel the GDE to allow it access to the information. In September 2015, Corruption Watch and the GDE reached a settlement agreement, and the GDE also confirmed that an investigation had been launched at the school.

**HLAUDI MOTSOENENG VS DA & OTHERS**

In August 2015, Corruption Watch intervened as a friend of the court (amicus curiae) in the case of Hlaudi Motsoeneng vs DA & Others. This case involved a determination of the nature and status of the public protector’s remedial directions and powers, among other issues. Corruption Watch endorsed the public protector’s argument that a proper interpretation of section 182 of the Constitution, read with the Public Protector Act: gives her the power to take remedial action which cannot be ignored by organs of state. In its November 2015 judgment, the SCA agreed with the public protector and Corruption Watch and stated that in order for the public protector to do her job, other organs of state may not second-guess and ignore her findings and recommendations.
In February, Corruption Watch appeared in the Constitutional Court as amicus curiae in the application by the Economic Freedom Fighters (EFF) and the Democratic Alliance (DA) to enforce the public protector’s findings in the Nkandla report. Our focus in this matter was mainly on compliance by state officials and the president with regards to the public protector’s remedial actions. Corruption Watch made oral submissions on the status and scope of the public protector’s remedial powers and on how organs of state are required to respond to them. In March 2016 the Constitutional Court ruled that the public protector’s remedial powers are binding and can only be set aside upon judicial review.

In August, Corruption Watch appeared in the Constitutional Court as amicus curiae in a case brought by the National Society for the Prevention of Animal Cruelty (NSPCA) against the justice minister and national director of public prosecutions. NSPCA argued that section 7(1)(a) of the Criminal Procedure Act created an arbitrary distinction between juristic persons and natural persons, which violates the rule of law and right to equality. Corruption Watch submitted that section 7(1)(a) can be interpreted to allow juristic persons to privately prosecute. The court found that NSPCA has the statutory power of private prosecution conferred upon it by section 6(2)(e) of the Societies for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act read with section 8 of the Criminal Procedure Act. Our view is that allowing juristic persons to engage in private prosecutions where the NPA has declined to prosecute can be a critical way of combating corruption. It reduces incentives of those accused of corruption to seek to influence the NPA in an improper manner.

EFF & DA vs Speaker of the House

In February, Corruption Watch appeared in the High Court in the EFF & DA vs Speaker of the House case. The application was brought by the EFF and DA to enforce their right of access to information. Our focus was on the public protector’s remedial powers and on the status and scope of the public protector’s remedial powers. In March 2016 the Constitutional Court ruled that the public protector’s remedial powers are binding and can only be set aside upon judicial review.

National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals / Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development and Another

In October, Corruption Watch and the Right2Know Campaign (R2K) launched a legal challenge at the High Court into the findings of the Arms Procurement Commission (also known as the Seriti Commission) on the arms deal. Our litigation will be aimed at reviewing the Seriti Commission’s report on procedural grounds, as it found that there were no irregularities in relation to the procurement of arms during the arms deal. This case is crucial in ensuring that the truth about the arms deal scandal is not whitewashed through a flawed commission, and to ensure that future commissions are not compromised by similar procedural and other irregularities.

Litigation in Respect of the Findings of the Seriti Commission on the Arms Deal

In January, Corruption Watch issued a letter to South African Revenue Services (SARS) commissioner Tom Moyane concerning his failure to act decisively on the findings of a report from the Financial Intelligence Centre (FIC), detailing fraud and corruption by senior SARS employees, Jonas Makwakwa and Kelly Ann Elskie. We are disturbed by the manner in which Moyane handled the case - for example, contrary to his assertion that the matter was an internal one and did not require reporting to the Directorate of Priority Crime Investigation (DPCI), his failure to take appropriate action is, in fact, unlawful in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corruption Act (PACC). Moyane was obliged to report both Makwakwa and Elskie to the DPCI and failure to do so could result in imprisonment or a fine. In December 2016, Corruption Watch laid criminal charges against Moyane in accordance with PACC, because as an official who holds a position of authority, Moyane is obliged to report knowledge or even mere suspicion of corrupt transactions over R100 000 to the DPCI. Moyane also contravened the Financial Intelligence Centre Act when he disclosed the FIC report to Makwakwa and Elskie. The Act prohibits the disclosure of suspicious and unusual transactions to certain persons, particularly those implicated in the report. We have also laid criminal charges in respect of this contravention.

Policy Submissions

Corruption Watch aims to cast a light on, and combat corruption in South Africa, but we also seek to improve the legislative environment that allows corruption to thrive. Our submissions on policy matters are aimed at reducing opportunities for corrupt practices and abuse of power to take place. In 2016 we made the following submissions:

- Draft Supply Chain Management Bill: Corruption Watch is working with the National Treasury and other non-governmental organisations to provide input on the Supply Chain Management Bill and its regulations, which will be tabled in Parliament in April 2017.
- Draft Public Administration Management Act Regulations: In our submissions we focused on the legislative and regulatory framework around conflicts of interest and the declaration of interests by state officials. We will continue to monitor when President Jacob Zuma will sign the Act into force, which is a declaration that is long overdue.
- Protected Disclosures Act: We expressed concern in our submissions that the amendments to the Act were not comprehensive and left gaps in certain areas. We also raised concerns about the implementation of the bill and certain practical challenges which face employees and workers when making protected disclosures.

Litigation in Respect of the Findings of the Seriti Commission on the Arms Deal

in troops to engage in private prosecutions where the NPA has declined to prosecute can be a critical way of combating corruption. It reduces incentives of those accused of corruption to seek to influence the NPA in an improper manner.
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MESSAGE FROM OUR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Think of poverty, inequality and unemployment. Think of persistent racism. Think of our public education and health systems. Think of our dysfunctional criminal justice institutions and the paltrous state of critical state owned enterprises like Eskom.

And yet despite the gravity of these problems corruption is front and centre of our heated public discourse. It was certainly the most powerful influence on the outcome of the local government elections. It accounts for persistent violent ‘service delivery’ protests. It is the root cause of the turbulence that is consuming the ruling party.

So why then does corruption claim such an enormous share of public attention and outrage? Firstly, because corruption has a large role to play in each of these major problems. It is the poor who rely on public services. When the users of our public health facilities are forced to pay for what are notionally free services, poverty and inequality increase; when the resources that are intended to support the purchase of equipment for public schools are diverted into the pockets of corrupt officials, teachers and school governing body members, poverty and inequality increase; when a child is deprived of her school lunch by a corrupt principal, poverty and inequality increase; when teaching jobs and policing jobs are sold to the highest bidder, poverty and inequality increase. The sorry state of our police and prosecutorial services is entirely a consequence of unchecked corruption.

Secondly, the public is particularly outraged by corruption because it is eminently preventable. Poverty, inequality and unemployment are massive global problems. In our particular case, our apartheid legacy undoubtedly plays a significant role in the scale of these problems and in our difficulties in overcoming them. But this is not the case where corruption is concerned. To be sure the apartheid state was riddled with corruption. Indeed, government by the few, for the few is what defines both apartheid and corruption. But this is no longer the case. When the people of South Africa, all the people of South Africa, voted in 1994 we broke decisively with the system of governance that characterised apartheid. And yet our country is ravaged by corruption.

WHY IS THIS?

We could point to what are widely construed as the two most important causes of corruption. These are, firstly, impunity enjoyed by the politically powerful and rich that cascades down from the president to members of his cabinet, to senior public officials, to provincial premiers and MECs, to mayors and local government councillors. And, secondly, rampant corruption in the leadership of key police units and in our prosecutorial authority is what enables powerful perpetrators in both the public and private sectors to evade consequences for their actions. But this reasoning is circular. It’s like saying that our corruption problem is caused by corruption.

What South Africa’s experience over the past 20 years teaches us is that in order to build an effective, corruption-free democracy we need something more than periodic elections. We need active, vigilant, demanding citizens who consistently and persistently hold their leaders to account. After our great victory in 1994, we relaxed our vigilance. This is the root cause of rampant corruption. And so, since our launch in 2012 Corruption Watch has sought to encourage and enable an active and informed citizenry.

We have much reason to be pleased with the fruits of our efforts. While we make no claim to be solely responsible for the increasing public pressure on those in public and private sector leadership, the increasing volume of whistle-blower reports that we receive, the sheer volume and the breadth of the public that has engaged with us both on our online media and in our face-to-face engagements, our presence in the mainstream and commercial media, all clearly verify the central role that we have played in building an informed and active citizenry.

Nor is our success in engaging the public in the fight against corruption based on spin. It is rather rooted in the success of our litigation and policy advocacy and in the impact of our investigations. It is inspired by the success of our campaigns aimed at corruption in the management of schools’ resources and in the treatment of refugees and asylum seekers, and in our campaign for transparency in the process of appointing a new public protector. Our success is measured by the hostility that we have encountered from corrupt institutions and individuals. But it is also measured by the support that we have received from those many leaders in both the public and private sectors who are determined to stamp out corruption.

Our success is all down to you, the citizens and residents of South Africa. It is because your support enables us to speak with your voice that we have managed to achieve what five years ago seemed like distant, even unattainable, objectives. In the coming years our principal objective is to significantly increase the volume, and improve the quality, of public participation. If we have managed to achieve what we have with 15 000 whistle-blower reports, imagine how much we would achieve with 50 000 reports. If we have managed to achieve what we have with the present extent of our media reach, imagine how much we would achieve if we extended our footprint, and in particular if we achieved much greater reach outside of the major metropolitan areas. In order to earn your support, we will continue to litigate, we will continue to press for better policies, we will continue to ensure that those who have engaged in corrupt and unethical conduct are exposed and we will demand that they are punished for their deeds.

WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU IN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS!

BUA MZANS! BUAH!!
Our campaigns targeted at increasing public participation around key issues, such as the appointment of the new public protector, have taught us the value of focused projects. Thus in 2017/2018 we will be mounting participatory campaigns around the National Anti-Corruption Strategy as well as the upcoming SGB elections.

However, these focused exercises will not detract from our general appeal to the public to report any and every experience of corruption. In the past five years, the public has demonstrated its willingness to speak out against corruption and we learned that people respond positively to seeing others do the same. This is why in 2017 we will be renewing our efforts to encourage people to report their experiences of corruption to us. We will continue to expose the perpetrators; we will carry on identifying and publicising hotspots of corruption; and we will not stop confronting the authorities with the evidence the public presents to us.
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